

# A NOTE ON SPECTRAL TRIPLES AND QUASIDIAGONALITY

ADAM SKALSKI AND JOACHIM ZACHARIAS

ABSTRACT. Spectral triples (of compact type) are constructed on arbitrary separable quasidiagonal  $C^*$ -algebras. On the other hand an example of a spectral triple on a non-quasidiagonal algebra is presented.

The concept of a spectral triple (unbounded Fredholm module) due to A. Connes ([Co1]) is a natural noncommutative generalisation of a notion of a compact manifold, with certain summability properties corresponding in the classical case to the dimension of the manifold. Recently E. Christensen and C. Ivan established the existence of spectral triples on arbitrary AF algebras ([CI]). In this note we generalise their result to arbitrary quasidiagonal (representations of)  $C^*$ -algebras. Contrary to the AF situation our triples might in general have bad summability properties and it is not clear whether they satisfy Rieffel's condition (i.e. whether the topology they induce on the state space coincides with the weak\*-topology). Although the connections between properties related to quasi-diagonality and the existence of unbounded Fredholm modules seem to have been known for a long time (see for example [Vo1]), explicit constructions have been until now given only in presence of a filtration of the  $C^*$ -algebra in question consisting of finite-dimensional subspaces ([Vo1], [CI]).

We also show that the existence of spectral triples of compact type does not imply quasidiagonality by exhibiting a simple example of such a triple (with bad summability properties) on the natural, non-quasidiagonal, representation of the Toeplitz algebra.

## 1. BASICS ON QUASIDIAGONALITY AND SPECTRAL TRIPLES

Throughout  $A$  denotes a separable unital  $C^*$ -algebra. Representations of  $A$  are assumed to act on separable Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 1.1.** ([Co1]) A spectral triple or unbounded Fredholm module  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{H}, D)$  on  $A$  consists of a faithful representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathbf{H})$  together with a dense \*-subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset A$  and an unbounded self-adjoint operator  $D$  on  $\mathbf{H}$  such that

- (i)  $[D, a]$  is densely defined and extends to a bounded operator on  $\mathbf{H}$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (ii)  $(I + D^2)^{-1}$  is compact.

If  $p > 0$  then  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{H}, D)$  is  $p$ -summable if  $(I + D^2)^{-p/2}$  is trace class. (Other summability conditions require  $(I + D^2)^{-1/2}$  to lie in various trace ideals.) Finally the triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{H}, D)$  is of *compact type* if  $[D, a]$  defines a compact operator for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .

---

*Permanent address of the first named author:* Department of Mathematics, University of Łódź, ul. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L87, Secondary 47A66.

*Key words and phrases.* Spectral triple, quasidiagonal  $C^*$ -algebra.

It is known that the existence of spectral triples on  $C^*$ -algebras imposes restrictions on the algebra in question. For instance the existence of a  $p$ -summable triple implies that  $A$  is nuclear and has a tracial state ([Co<sub>2</sub>]). Notice that the Dirac operator on a compact spin manifold  $M$  of dimension  $d$  defines a spectral triple on  $C(M)$  which is  $p$ -summable for all  $p > d$  but not  $p \leq d$  (see for example Chapter 7 in [Ro]).

**Definition 1.2.** ([BO]) A  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is said to be quasidiagonal if there exists a sequence of completely positive and contractive maps  $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow M_{k_n}$  such that  $\|\varphi_n(ab) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|\varphi_n(a)\| \rightarrow \|a\|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $a, b \in A$ .

Every abelian  $C^*$ -algebra is quasidiagonal (use point evaluations on the spectrum); it is also easy to see that  $AF$  algebras are quasidiagonal. A representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  of a  $C^*$ -algebra is said to be quasidiagonal if there exists an increasing sequence of finite rank projections  $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $P_n$  converges strongly to  $I$  and  $[\pi(a), P_n] \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every fixed  $a \in A$ . D. Voiculescu showed in [Vo<sub>2</sub>] that a (separable)  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is quasidiagonal if and only if it admits a faithful quasidiagonal representation. Note that quasidiagonality also implies the existence of tracial states ([BO], Proposition 7.1.16), if only  $A$  is unital.

A quasidiagonal representation gives rise to the following setting. Given an increasing sequence  $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of projections on  $\mathcal{H}$  converging strongly to  $I$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  let  $Q_k = P_k - P_{k-1}$ , ( $P_0 := 0$ ). For  $a \in B(\mathcal{H})$  define the operator matrix  $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^\infty$  by  $a_{ij} = Q_i a Q_j$ . Let moreover  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of real numbers. Then we can define an essentially self-adjoint operator  $D$  by  $D = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \alpha_i Q_i$  (with the sum understood strongly - we simply fix all eigenspaces and corresponding eigenvalues of  $D$ ). If the projections  $P_n$  have finite rank and  $|\alpha_i| \rightarrow \infty$  then  $(I + D^2)^{-1}$  is compact. As  $D$  is a diagonal operator with  $\alpha_n$ 's on the diagonal it is clear that the matrix of  $[D, a]$  is given by  $((\alpha_i - \alpha_j)a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^\infty$ :

$$[D, a] = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 a_{11} & \alpha_1 a_{12} & \alpha_1 a_{13} & \dots \\ \alpha_2 a_{21} & \alpha_2 a_{22} & \alpha_2 a_{23} & \dots \\ \alpha_3 a_{31} & \alpha_3 a_{32} & \alpha_3 a_{33} & \dots \\ \dots & & & \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 a_{11} & \alpha_2 a_{12} & \alpha_3 a_{13} & \dots \\ \alpha_1 a_{21} & \alpha_2 a_{22} & \alpha_3 a_{23} & \dots \\ \alpha_1 a_{31} & \alpha_2 a_{32} & \alpha_3 a_{33} & \dots \\ \dots & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if  $[D, a]$  defines a bounded operator of norm  $C$  then for all  $i \neq j$  we must have  $\|a_{ij}\| \leq C|\alpha_i - \alpha_j|^{-1}$ .

## 2. SPECTRAL TRIPLES ON QUASIDIAGONAL $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

The following theorem implies in particular the existence of spectral triples on  $AF$  algebras, as proved in [CI]. Contrary to the  $AF$  situation we cannot expect in this generality any good summability properties.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a (separable) quasidiagonal  $C^*$ -algebra with quasidiagonal faithful representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $(b_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  be any sequence in  $A$ . Then there exists a spectral triple of compact type  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  on  $A$ , with  $\mathcal{A}$  containing all  $b_i$ .*

*Proof.* By mixing our sequence  $(b_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  with a dense sequence we obtain a dense sequence  $(a_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ ; by taking adjoints and finite products and putting them all in one sequence we may assume that  $(a_i)$  is moreover closed under taking adjoints and products. This implies that  $\text{span}(\{a_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\})$  is a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ .

Now let  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(H)$  be a faithful quasidiagonal representation with a sequence of finite rank projections  $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  as before. Let  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers such that  $|\alpha_i| \nearrow \infty$ . Then writing  $a$  for  $\pi(a)$ , where  $a \in A$  we have (the sum is understood formally)

$$\|[D, a]\| = \left\| \sum_k \alpha_k [Q_k, a] \right\| \leq \sum_k |\alpha_k| (\|[P_k, a]\| + \|[P_{k-1}, a]\|)$$

so that  $[D, a] = \sum_k \alpha_k [Q_k, a]$  converges in norm to a compact operator provided the right hand side converges. (Note that  $[Q_k, a]$  is finite rank for all  $k$ .) All that remains to prove is the following statement:

**Claim:** There exists a subsequence of  $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\sum_k |\alpha_k| (\|[a_i, P_{n_k}]\| + \|[a_i, P_{n_{k-1}}]\|) < \infty$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof of Claim:* Since  $\|[a, P_k]\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $a \in A$  we can chose a subsequence  $(P_{1,k})$  of  $(P_n)$  such that

$$\sum_k |\alpha_k| (\|[a_1, P_{1,k}]\| + \|[a_1, P_{1,k-1}]\|) < \infty.$$

Now chose a subsequence  $(P_{2,k})$  of  $(P_{1,k})$  such that

$$\sum_k |\alpha_k| (\|[a_2, P_{2,k}]\| + \|[a_2, P_{2,k-1}]\|) < \infty.$$

Since  $|\alpha_k| \nearrow \infty$  we have

$$\sum_k |\alpha_k| (\|[a_1, P_{2,k}]\| + \|[a_1, P_{2,k-1}]\|) \leq \sum_k |\alpha_k| (\|[a_1, P_{1,k}]\| + \|[a_1, P_{1,k-1}]\|) < \infty.$$

By induction we find a sequence of successive subsequences  $(P_{l,k})$  such that

$$\sum_k |\alpha_k| (\|[a_i, P_{l,k}]\| + \|[a_i, P_{l,k-1}]\|) < \infty$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq l$  and it is easy to see that the diagonal sequence  $(P_{k,k})$  provides a required subsequence.  $\square$

Note that although we can choose the sequence  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^\infty$  in an arbitrary way (as long as  $|\alpha_i| \nearrow \infty$ ), the inductive construction above may entail that each  $\alpha_i$  has very fast growing multiplicity in the list of eigenvalues of the operator  $D$ . This means that unless we know some strong estimates on the rate of vanishing of the off-diagonal elements of elements of  $A$  with respect to the decomposition given by the original sequence  $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  we cannot expect the resulting triple to have any good summability properties. When  $A$  is an AF algebra then for any given  $a \in A$  the off-diagonal elements with respect to the natural sequence  $(P_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  are simply 0 from some point on, which explains why the triples constructed in [CI] can be arbitrarily well summable. For similar reasons we also cannot expect that the metric on the state space  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  given by the spectral triples constructed above induces the weak\*-topology on  $\mathcal{S}(A)$  (in the spirit of Rieffel's theory of compact quantum metric spaces, [Ri]).

One might expect that the existence of a spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  of compact type on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  should imply that the representation  $\pi : A \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  is quasidiagonal. This, however, is not true, as the next example shows:

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  be the standard (faithful) representation of the Toeplitz algebra  $\mathcal{T}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{T}$  generated by the unilateral shift. As  $\mathcal{T}$  is not quasidiagonal, also the representation  $\pi$  is not quasidiagonal, but there exists a (non-finitely summable) spectral triple  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$  of compact type on  $\mathcal{T}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the shift representation  $\mathcal{T} = C^*(s) \rightarrow B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ , where  $s$  is the unilateral shift and let  $\mathcal{P}(s)$  be the  $*$ -algebra generated by  $s$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\mathcal{P}(s) = \text{span}\{1, s^i, (s^*)^j, e_{i,j} : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  where  $e_{i,j}$  denote the standard matrix units in  $B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ . Let  $(\alpha_i)$  be a sequence of positive real numbers such that  $\alpha_i \nearrow \infty$  and  $\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $[D, s]$  has the matrix representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & & \\ \alpha_2 - \alpha_1 & 0 & \dots & & \\ 0 & \alpha_3 - \alpha_2 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_4 - \alpha_3 & 0 & \\ \dots & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

which gives a compact operator and it is easy to see that  $[D, a]$  is compact for every  $a \in \mathcal{P}(s)$ . However,  $s$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are clearly not quasidiagonal since  $s$  has Fredholm index  $-1$ .

The triple constructed above will generally not be finitely summable, as can be seen for instance by putting  $\alpha_n = \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1}$ .  $\square$

We would like to finish the note with one more comment. If a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is residually finite dimensional (i.e. faithfully embeddable into a direct product of the form  $\prod_{i \in I} M_{k_i}$ , see [BO]) then one can trivially construct spectral triples of arbitrarily good summability properties and such that

$$(2.1) \quad [D, a] = 0, \quad a \in A.$$

Such triples induce the discrete topology on the state space of  $A$  and thus do not characterise in the correct sense ‘topological dimension’ of  $A$  (note that any commutative algebra  $C(X)$  is residually finite dimensional, independently on the topological dimension of  $X$ ). It is also clear that the existence of a spectral triple on  $A$  satisfying (2.1) implies that  $A$  is residually finite dimensional.

## REFERENCES

- [BO] N. Brown and N. Ozawa, “ $C^*$ -Algebras and finite dimensional approximations”, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 88. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [CI] E. Christensen and C. Ivan, Spectral triples for AF  $C^*$ -algebras and metrics on the Cantor set, *J. Operator Theory* **56** (2006), no. 1, 17–46.
- [Co1] A. Connes, “Noncommutative geometry”, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- [Co2] A. Connes, Compact metric spaces, Fredholm modules and hyperfiniteness, *Ergodic Th. Dyn. Systems* **9**(1989), 207–220.
- [Ri] M.A. Rieffel, Gromov-Hausdorff distance for quantum metric spaces, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **168** (2004), no. 796, 1–65.

- [Ro] J. Roe, “Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods”, Second edition. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 395. Longman, Harlow, 1998.
- [Vo<sub>1</sub>] D. Voiculescu, On the existence of quasicentral approximate units relative to normed ideals. I, *J. Funct. Anal.* **91** (1990), no. 1, 1–36.
- [Vo<sub>2</sub>] D. Voiculescu, A note on quasi-diagonal  $C^*$ -algebras and homotopy, *Duke Math. J.* **62** (1991), no. 2, 267–271.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LANCASTER UNIVERSITY, LANCASTER, LA1 4YF

*E-mail address:* `a.skalski@lancaster.ac.uk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, NOTTINGHAM, NG7 2RD

*E-mail address:* `joachim.zacharias@nottingham.ac.uk`